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PREFACE

This report was developed by the NAHB Research Center for the Steel Framing Alliance and the Lateral Design Task Group of the AISI Committee on Framing Standards. The objective of this project was to evaluate the performance of fiberboard sheathing on steel-framed walls.

The project involved the conducting of four monotonic tests. The results of these tests are available to serve as basis of a code change to permit use of fiberboard sheathing on steel-framed walls and the addition of design values to the AISI Standard for Cold-Formed Steel Framing - Lateral Design.
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Disclaimer

Neither the NAHB Research Center, Inc., nor any person acting in its behalf, makes any warranty, express or implied, with respect to the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this publication or that such use may not infringe privately owned rights, or assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this publication, or is responsible for statements made or opinions expressed by individual authors.
COLD-FORMED STEEL WALLS WITH FIBERBOARD SHEATHING - SHEAR WALL TESTING

PURPOSE
The purpose of this test program was to conduct shear wall testing of cold-formed steel walls sheathed with structural fiberboard sheathing.

TEST METHOD
The tests were performed according to the general provisions of ASTM Standard E564 “Standard Practice for Static Load Test for Shear Resistance of Framed Walls for Buildings”. Two tests were done for each assembly.

Shear wall testing was conducted in accordance with the general provisions of ASTM E 564-00 “Standard Practice for Static Load Test for Shear Resistance of Framed Walls for Buildings”. A total of two test configurations were tested in accordance with Tables 1 and 2. A sample size of two was used with each wall configuration. A total of four shear wall specimens were tested. Testing was performed at the Laboratory Facilities of the NAHB Research Center in Upper Marlboro, MD in August of 2005. Figure 1 shows a schematic of a shear wall test setup including instrumentation.

TEST SPECIMENS
Four 8-foot wide by 8-foot-tall wall specimens were tested. The uplift corners of the wall specimens were restrained with Simpson Strong-Tie HTT22 hold-downs (see Figure 10). The characteristics of each of the tested walls are summarized in Table 1. Figures 2 through 4 show typical wall specimen.

EQUIPMENT
The tests were performed using a racking shear apparatus. Cylinder motion was controlled using a computer-based system. Wall drift was measured using a string potentiometer. Wall uplift, slip, and compressive deformation were measured using Linear Variable Differential Transformers (LVDTs) (see Figures 4 through 8). Load was measured using an electronic load cell (see Figure 9). Load and displacement readings were recorded using a digital data acquisition system. All instruments were calibrated in accordance with the NAHB Research Center Laboratory Quality Manual.

SHEAR WALL TESTING
Shear walls were tested by displacing the top of the specimen at a constant rate of 0.3 inch/min. Displacement was applied with a hydraulic actuator using a tube steel distribution beam bolted to the top plate. Specimens were tested to failure defined as a drop in load to less than 80 percent of the peak load. A multi-step loading history was used in accordance with ASTM E 564-00. The peak load for the first specimen of each configuration was estimated using the principle of engineering mechanics to set target loads for the loading history. Specimens were set on a 3.5-inch-wide steel channel spacer to allow for sheathing panel rotation without interference with the setup.
### TABLE 1
**WALL SPECIMEN CHARACTERISTICS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FRAMING COMPONENT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specimen Size</td>
<td>8 Foot Long x 8 Foot Wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stud Size and Grade</td>
<td>362S162-33, 33 ksi (yield strength was not verified by testing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track Size and Grade</td>
<td>362T125-33, 33 ksi (yield strength was not verified by testing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stud Spacing</td>
<td>24 inches on center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheathing Fasteners</td>
<td>No. 8 x 1” modified Truss Head Screws (Head Diameter = 0.43”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge Distance = ½”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framing Fasteners</td>
<td>No. 8 x 1/2” modified Truss Head Screws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheathing Screw Spacing</td>
<td>See Table 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage</td>
<td>½-inch bolts with round cut washers spaced 4 feet on center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold-down at corners</td>
<td>Simpson Strong-Tie HTT22 attached with No. 8 screws; Hold-down raised about 1” from the sill plate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SHEATHING PANELS**

| Sheathing Panel Type       | Structural Fiberboard Sheathing conforming to ANSI/AHA A194.1               |
| Panel Size and Thickness   | 48” Wide x 96” Long, ½” Nominal Thickness                                   |
| Installation               | Sheathing Parallel to Studs                                                 |

### TABLE 2
**FASTENING SCHEDULE OF FIBERBOARD SHEATHING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WALL TEST NO.</th>
<th>PERIMETER (EDGE) (in.)</th>
<th>FIELD (INTERMEDIATE) (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RESULTS

The peak loads for the four tested wall specimens are tabulated in Table 3. Figures 11 through 14 show the load-deformation relationship for the tested walls. Figures 15 through 20 show the failure of the tested wall assemblies. Failure was associated with screw tear out between the fiberboard sheets at the middle of the wall. None of the studs buckled during the test or at peak loads.

Table 4 provides a comparison between the nominal shear values (in pounds per linear foot of wall) for wood and steel walls sheathed with fiberboard sheathing. The shear values for the wood walls were taken from the American Forest and Paper Association, 2001 Edition Supplement, Special Design Provisions for Wind and Seismic, ASD/LRFD, Manual for Engineered Wood Construction.

### TABLE 3
SHEAR WALL TEST RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Screw Size</th>
<th>On-Center Perimeter Screw Spacing</th>
<th>Peak Load, lb</th>
<th>Average Peak Load, lb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>#8 x ½”</td>
<td>3”</td>
<td>5,096</td>
<td>4,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>#8 x ½”</td>
<td>4”</td>
<td>5,260</td>
<td>5,369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 4
COMPARISON OF NOMINAL SHEAR VALUES (LB/FT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall</th>
<th>Intermediate (Field) Fastener Spacing</th>
<th>Edge (Perimeter) Fastener Spacing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6”</td>
<td>6”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Nominal shear values are based on Douglas-Fir-Larch or Southern Pine grades. Adjustment factors should be used for other grades (Refer to Table 4.3A of AF&PA Manual for Engineered Wood Construction).
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UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty of the peak load measurements has been estimated to be 0.5 percent. The uncertainty of the displacement measurements has been estimated to be 1.05 percent. These estimates were made using Type B analysis at a 95 percent confidence level with a coverage factor of $k=2$.
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